

STOCHASTIC INTEGRATION IN ORDERED BANACH SPACES

JORIS BIERKENS AND ONNO VAN GAANS

1. VECTOR-VALUED RANDOM VARIABLES

Throughout this section, let X be a Banach space with dual X^* and Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{X}$, and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. We want to treat functions $x : \Omega \rightarrow X$ as random variables. To make this precise, we give the following definitions.

1.1. Vector-valued random variables. A *vector valued random variable* is an $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function $x : \Omega \rightarrow X$, that is

$$x^\leftarrow(A) \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The σ -algebra generated by maps $x_\gamma : \Omega \rightarrow X$, $\gamma \in C$ is $\sigma(x_\gamma^\leftarrow(A) : A \in \mathcal{X}, \gamma \in C)$ and denoted by $\sigma(x_\gamma : \gamma \in C)$.

Random variables (real- or vector-valued) $x_1, x_2, \dots$ are *independent* if the σ -algebras $\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2), \dots$ are independent.

1.2. Expectation. Let $x \in L^1(\Omega; X)$, i.e. x is strongly integrable.¹ Define the (*vector-valued*) *expectation of x* (notation $\mathbb{E}_X[x]$) as

$$\mathbb{E}_X[x] := \int_{\Omega} x \, d\mathbb{P}.$$

Proposition A.4 shows that this expectation works ‘as expected’ for function spaces:

$$\mathbb{E}_X[x(\cdot)] = \mathbb{E}[x](\cdot),$$

i.e. evaluating the vector-valued expectation amounts to a pointwise evaluation of the usual real-valued expectation. Therefore we will drop the subscript X in $\mathbb{E}_X$ and use the symbol $\mathbb{E}$ for both vector-valued and real-valued expectation, as long as this does not lead to confusion.

We will now verify some properties of vector-valued expectation.

Date: October 28, 2009.

¹Refer to Appendix A for details.

1.3. Lemma. Let $x \in L^1(\Omega; X)$ and $r \in L^1(\Omega)$ be independent vector- and real-valued integrable random variables, respectively. Then

- (i) The random variables r and $\phi(x)$ are independent for all $\phi \in X^*$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}_X[rx] = \mathbb{E}[r]\mathbb{E}_X[x]$.

PROOF:

- (i) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the Borel σ -algebra of $\mathbb{R}$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$(\phi(x))^\leftarrow(A) = x^\leftarrow(\phi^\leftarrow(A)) \in x^\leftarrow(\mathcal{X}),$$

since $\phi^\leftarrow(A) \in \mathcal{X}$ because ϕ is continuous and hence Borel measurable. Therefore $(\phi(x))^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}) \subset x^\leftarrow(\mathcal{X})$ and therefore $(\phi(x))^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B})$ and $r^\leftarrow(\mathcal{X})$ are independent, so $\phi(x)$ and r are independent.

- (ii) For all $\phi \in X^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathbb{E}_X[rx]) &= \mathbb{E}[\phi(rx)] = \mathbb{E}[r\phi(x)] = \mathbb{E}[r]\mathbb{E}[\phi(x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[r]\phi(\mathbb{E}_X[x]) = \phi(\mathbb{E}[r]\mathbb{E}_X[x]), \end{aligned}$$

using that $\phi(x)$ and r are independent, and that

$$\mathbb{E}[|\phi(x)|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|\phi|_{X^*}|x|_X] \leq \|\phi\|_{X^*}\mathbb{E}[|x|_X] < \infty,$$

so that $\phi(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$. □

In the remainder of this section, unless stated otherwise, $\mathcal{F}$ will denote a σ -algebra on Ω and $\mathcal{G}$ a sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{F}$.

1.4. Theorem and Definition (Conditional Expectation). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space and $x \in L^1(\Omega; X)$. Then there exists a $y \in L^1(\Omega; X)$ such that

- (1) y is $\mathcal{G}$ measurable,
- (2) For every $A \in \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}[y\mathbb{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[x\mathbb{1}_A].$$

Moreover, if $\tilde{y}$ is another X -valued random variable with these properties then $\tilde{y} = y$, a.s..

The random variable y with the above properties is called *(a version of) the conditional expectation of x given $\mathcal{G}$* , and written as

$$y = \mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}], \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Furthermore, conditional expectation satisfies the following properties:

- (i) If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ then $y = x$, a.s.
- (ii) (*Linearity*) For $x_1, x_2 \in L_1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}), X)$, if $y_1 = \mathbb{E}[x_1|\mathcal{G}]$ and $y_2 = \mathbb{E}[x_2|\mathcal{G}]$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathbb{E}[ax_1 + bx_2|\mathcal{G}] = ay_1 + by_2$, a.s.
- (iii) If $x \in X$ and $r : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathbb{E}[xr|\mathcal{G}] = x\mathbb{E}[r|\mathcal{G}]$.

PROOF:

Uniqueness. Let $x \in X$. Suppose both y and $\tilde{y}$ satisfy the requirements. Then $\mathbb{E}[(y - \tilde{y})\mathbb{1}_A] = 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{G}$. But if $\mathbb{E}[|y - \tilde{y}|_X] \neq 0$, then there exists a set $A \in \mathcal{G}$ with $y - \tilde{y} \neq 0$ on A , which gives a contradiction.

Existence. First suppose that x is simple, and of the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}, \quad x_i \in X, A_i \in \mathcal{F} \text{ disjoint}, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Define

$$\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}] := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i}|\mathcal{G}].$$

It is easily verified that this construction satisfies the requirements.

If $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of simple functions that approaches x in $L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}), X)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[x^n - x^m|\mathcal{G}]|_X] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_i (x_i^n - x_i^m) \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i}|\mathcal{G}]\right|_X\right] \\ &\leq \sum_i \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i}|\mathcal{G}]] \|x_i^n - x_i^m\|_X \\ &= \sum_i \mathbb{P}(A_i) \|x_i^n - x_i^m\| = \|x^n - x^m\|_{L^1(\Omega, X)}. \end{aligned}$$

So $(\mathbb{E}[x^n|\mathcal{G}])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{G}), X)$ and hence has a unique limit, that we denote by $\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]$.

1.5. Lemma (Tower property). Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be σ -algebras. Let $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{H}|\mathcal{G}], \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF: For $A \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[x\mathbb{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{H}]\mathbb{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{H}|\mathcal{G}]\mathbb{1}_A].$$

□

1.6. Lemma. If $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is a sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{F}$, then

$$|\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]|_X \leq \mathbb{E}[|x|_X | \mathcal{G}], \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF: If x is a simple function, then this is easily seen from the construction of the conditional expectation. If $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}), X)$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

approximates x in $L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}), X)$, then as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, $\mathbb{E}[x_n|\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^1(\Omega; X)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{E}[|x|_X|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbb{E}[|x_n|_X|\mathcal{G}]\|] &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{E}[|x|_X - |x_n|_X|\mathcal{G}]\|] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|x - x_n\|_X] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathbb{E}[x_n|\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]$ in probability and $\|\mathbb{E}[x_n|\mathcal{G}]\|_X \rightarrow \|\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]\|_X$ in probability. But then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, it is impossible that

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]\|_X - \mathbb{E}[\|x\|_X|\mathcal{G}] \geq \varepsilon) > 0,$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]\|_X \leq \mathbb{E}[\|x\|_X|\mathcal{G}]) = 1.$$

□

1.7. Lemma (Dominated convergence for conditional expectation). If $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$ and $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} : \Omega \rightarrow X$ are strongly $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions such that $\|x_i - x\|_X \rightarrow 0$ almost surely as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and if there is a function $y \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}), \mathbb{R})$, $y \geq 0$ such that $\|x_i\|_X \leq y$ almost surely for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_i \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|\mathbb{E}[x_i|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF: The x_i are in $L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$ because

$$\mathbb{E}[\|x_i\|] \leq \mathbb{E}[y] < \infty.$$

The claim follows because, by Lemma 1.6

$$\|\mathbb{E}[x_i|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]\|_X \leq \mathbb{E}[\|x_i - x\|_X|\mathcal{G}],$$

and the latter expression converges to zero by dominated convergence for real-valued conditional expectation. □

1.8. Lemma (Take out what is known I). Let $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{G}); X)$ and $r \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); \mathbb{R})$ such that $xr \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[xr|\mathcal{G}] = x\mathbb{E}[r|\mathcal{G}].$$

PROOF: For $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{G}); X)$ a simple function, the result is immediate. Now let x_n be a sequence of $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable simple functions so that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $L^1(\Omega; X)$.

CLAIM:

$$x_n r \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1\}} \rightarrow xr, \quad \text{a.s..}$$

PROOF OF CLAIM: Let $\Omega_0 = \{\omega : x_n(\omega) \rightarrow x(\omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$, so $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$. Let $\omega \in \Omega_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for $n \geq N$, we have that $\|x_n(\omega) - x(\omega)\|_X < 1 \wedge \varepsilon$. So for $n \geq N$,

$$\|x_n(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1\}}(\omega) - x(\omega)\|_X < \varepsilon.$$

So $x_n \mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} \rightarrow x$ almost surely, and therefore $x_n r \mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} \rightarrow xr$ almost surely, and the claim is proven.

We have seen that since x_n is simple,

$$\mathbb{E}[x_n \mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} r | \mathcal{G}] = x_n \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} r | \mathcal{G}].$$

By Lemma 1.7, since $\|x_n \mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} r\|_X \leq |r| (\|x\|_X + 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[x_n \mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} r | \mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[xr | \mathcal{G}].$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| x_n \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} r | \mathcal{G}] - x \mathbb{E}[r | \mathcal{G}] \right\|_X \\ & \leq \left\| x_n \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\dots\}} r | \mathcal{G}] - x_n \mathbb{E}[r | \mathcal{G}] \right\|_X + \left\| x_n \mathbb{E}[r | \mathcal{G}] - x \mathbb{E}[r | \mathcal{G}] \right\|_X \\ & = \|x_n\|_X \left\| \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} - 1)r | \mathcal{G}] \right\| + \|x_n - x\|_X \left\| \mathbb{E}[r | \mathcal{G}] \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

of which both the first term (by real-valued dominated convergence of conditional expectation) and the second term tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on Ω_0 . We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[xr | \mathcal{G}] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[x_n \mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} r | \mathcal{G}] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\|x_n\|_X \leq \|x\|_X + 1} r | \mathcal{G}] \\ &= x \mathbb{E}[r | \mathcal{G}] \quad \text{almost surely.} \end{aligned}$$

□

1.9. Lemma (Independence and conditional expectation). Suppose $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ are independent σ -algebras, and let $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[x | \mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[x] \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

PROOF: It is straightforward to show this in case x is a simple function. In case $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$, let (x_i) be a sequence of simple functions such that $x_i \rightarrow x$. Then $x_i \mathbb{1}_{\|x_i\|_{L^1(\Omega; X)} \leq \|x\|_{L^1(\Omega; X)} + 1} \rightarrow x$ almost surely and by dominated convergence for conditional expectation the result follows. □

1.10. Lemma. If $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$, $\mathcal{G}$ is a sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\phi \in X^*$ then

$$\phi(\mathbb{E}[x | \mathcal{G}]) = \mathbb{E}[\phi(x) | \mathcal{G}], \quad \text{a.s..}$$

PROOF: Because $\mathbb{E}[x | \mathcal{G}]$ is $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable, so is $\phi(\mathbb{E}[x | \mathcal{G}])$. If $A \in \mathcal{G}$, then almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\phi(x) | \mathcal{G}] \mathbb{1}_A] &= \mathbb{E}[\phi(x) \mathbb{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[\phi(x \mathbb{1}_A)] = \phi(\mathbb{E}[x \mathbb{1}_A]) \\ &= \phi(\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x | \mathcal{G}] \mathbb{1}_A]) = \mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbb{E}[x | \mathcal{G}]) \mathbb{1}_A], \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

1.11. **Theorem (Conditional Jensen)** [6]. Let X be a Banach space and Y an ordered Banach space such that the positive cone is closed (e.g. a Banach lattice). Let $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$, let $\mathcal{G}$ be a sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{F}$ and let $f : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, such that

- (i) $f(\cdot, z)$ is strongly $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable for every $z \in X$;
 - (ii) $f(\cdot, x(\cdot)) \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); Y)$;
 - (iii) For every $\omega \in \Omega$, the function $f(\omega, \cdot) : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, and
- (1) $f(\omega, \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \preceq \lambda f(\omega, x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(\omega, x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in X, \lambda \in [0, 1],$
i.e. $f(\omega, \cdot)$ is convex.

Then

$$f(\omega, \mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}](\omega)) \preceq \mathbb{E}[f(\cdot, x(\cdot))|\mathcal{G}](\omega), \quad \text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

PROOF: See [6]).

1.12. **Corollary (Jensen)**. Let X and Y be as in the previous theorem. Let (S, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space. Let $x \in L^1((S, \mu); X)$ and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous, convex function such that $f(\mu(S)x) \in L^1((S, \mu); Y)$. Then

$$f\left(\int_S x \, d\mu\right) \preceq \frac{1}{\mu(S)} \int_S f(\mu(S)x) \, d\mu.$$

PROOF: Define a probability measure on S by letting $\mathbb{P}(A) := \mu(A)/\mu(S)$ for $A \in \Sigma$. Let $\tilde{x} := \mu(S)x$ and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the trivial σ -algebra on S . Theorem 1.11 states that

$$f\left(\frac{1}{\mu(S)} \int_S \tilde{x} \, d\mu\right) \preceq \frac{1}{\mu(S)} \int_S f(\tilde{x}) \, d\mu.$$

□

2. THE ITÔ INTEGRAL FOR BANACH SPACE VALUED STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

In this section we describe how to construct the Itô integral for general Banach spaces, with the least possible assumptions. In the succeeding sections this theory will be applied to the Banach spaces of continuous functions and of L^p -functions.

2.1. **Definition (Multiplication)**. Let X be a Banach space and Y be a Banach lattice with partial ordering $\preceq$.² A symmetric, bilinear mapping $\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ is called *Y -valued multiplication of elements in X* if it satisfies, for all $x, y \in X$,

- (i) $\|x \cdot y\|_Y \leq \|x\|_X \|y\|_X$;
- (ii) $x^2 := x \cdot x \succeq 0$;

²See Appendix A.5 and below for details.

(iii) $x^2 = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

2.2. Examples. Examples (i) and (ii) involve function spaces, where a partial ordering is given by $f \preceq g$ if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for (almost) all x in the domain of f and g .

All examples below satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.1.

(i) Let $X = C(K)$, with K a compact metric space, and define $\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow X$ to be pointwise multiplication. Then

$$\|x \cdot y\|_X = \sup_{k \in K} |x(k)y(k)| \leq \sup_{k \in K} |x(k)| \sup_{k \in K} |y(k)| = \|x\|_X \|y\|_X,$$

and

$$\|x^2\|_X = \sup_{k \in K} |x^2(k)| = \left(\sup_{k \in K} |x(k)| \right)^2 = \|x\|_X^2.$$

(ii-a) Let (S, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, $X = L^p(\mu)$, $2 \leq p < \infty$. Define $\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ to be pointwise multiplication, with $Y = L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mu)$. Then, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x \cdot y\|_Y &= \left(\int_S |xy|^{\frac{p}{2}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_S |x|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_S |y|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|x\|_X \|y\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

In this case

$$\|x^2\|_Y = \left(\int_S |x^2|^{p/2} d\mu \right)^{2/p} = \|x\|_X^2.$$

(ii-b) Let (S, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, $X = Y = L^\infty(\mu)$, with $\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow X$ pointwise multiplication. As for $C(K)$,

$$\|x \cdot y\|_X \leq \|x\|_X \|y\|_X, \quad \text{and} \quad \|x^2\|_X = \|x\|_X^2.$$

(iii) Let X be a separable Banach space. By Lemma A.8, there exists a sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X^* with $\|\phi_n\|_{X^*} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is norming for X , i.e.

$$\|x\|_X = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi_n(x)| \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Define a multiplication $\cdot$ by

$$\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow l^\infty, \quad (x \cdot y)_n = \phi_n(x)\phi_n(y).$$

Then

$$\|x \cdot y\|_{l^\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi_n(x)\phi_n(y)| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi_n(x)| \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi_m(y)| = \|x\|_X \|y\|_X.$$

A partial ordering on l^∞ is given by $a \preceq b$ if $a_n \leq b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$x^2 = (\phi_n(x)^2)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \succeq 0.$$

Finally, if $x^2 = 0$, then

$$\|x\|_X^2 = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi_n(x)|^2 = 0,$$

so that $x = 0$.

(iv) Let X be a Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Define a multiplication by

$$\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \cdot y = (x, y).$$

It is readily verified that this multiplication structure satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.1.

(v) If $X = Y$ is a Banach algebra and a Banach lattice such that

(a) $x^2 \succeq 0$ for all $x \in X$, and

(b) $x^2 = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$ in X ,

then the multiplication structure of X satisfies the conditions of 2.1 (see Example (i)).

In the remainder of this section, the pair of Banach spaces (X, Y) will satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.1.

2.3. Lemma (Take out what is known II). If $x \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{G}); X)$ and $y \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$ such that $x \cdot y \in L^1((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); Y)$ then

$$\mathbb{E}[x \cdot y | \mathcal{G}] = x \cdot \mathbb{E}[y | \mathcal{G}].$$

PROOF: If y is simple, say $y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$, with A_i disjoint elements of $\mathcal{F}$ and $y_i \in X$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[x \cdot y | \mathcal{G}] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(x \cdot y_i) \mathbb{1}_{A_i} | \mathcal{G}] = \sum_{i=1}^n (x \cdot y_i) \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i} | \mathcal{G}] \\ &= x \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i} | \mathcal{G}] \right) = x \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} | \mathcal{G}] \\ &= x \cdot \mathbb{E}[y | \mathcal{G}] \end{aligned}$$

by applying Lemma 1.8 twice.

Now let $y \in L^1(\Omega; X)$ such that $x \cdot y \in L^1(\Omega; Y)$. Let (y_i) be a sequence of simple functions such that $y_i \rightarrow y$ in $L^1(\Omega; X)$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 1.8, $y_i \mathbb{1}_{\{\|y_i\|_X \leq \|y\|_X + 1\}} \rightarrow y$ almost surely, for all $i = 1, \dots$. Write $A_i := \{\omega \in \Omega : \|y_i(\omega)\|_X \leq \|y(\omega)\|_X + 1\}$. Then $x \cdot y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \rightarrow x \cdot y$ almost surely and $\|x \cdot y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}\|_Y \leq \|x\|_X (\|y\|_X + 1)$ for all $i = 1, \dots$. Furthermore $y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ is a simple function, so

$$\mathbb{E}[x \cdot y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} | \mathcal{G}] = x \mathbb{E}[y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} | \mathcal{G}].$$

By Lemma 1.7,

$$\mathbb{E}[x \cdot y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} | \mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[x \cdot y | \mathcal{G}] \quad \text{almost surely,}$$

and

$$x \cdot \mathbb{E}[y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} | \mathcal{G}] \rightarrow x \cdot \mathbb{E}[y | \mathcal{G}] \quad \text{almost surely,}$$

and the claimed result follows. $\square$

2.4. Lemma. Suppose $x, y \in L^1(\Omega; X)$ such that $x \cdot y \in L^1(\Omega; Y)$ and suppose x and y are independent. Then $\mathbb{E}[x \cdot y] = \mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[y]$.

PROOF: Suppose x is $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable and y is $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable, so $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are independent. Then, by applying the tower property (Lemma 1.5), taking out what is known (Lemma 2.3) and by using independence (Lemma 1.9), we find that

$$\mathbb{E}[x \cdot y] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x \cdot y | \mathcal{F}]] = \mathbb{E}[x \mathbb{E}[y | \mathcal{F}]] = \mathbb{E}[x \mathbb{E}[y]] = \mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[y].$$

$\square$

2.5. Lemma (Cauchy-Schwarz). Let (S, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. Let $x, y \in L^2(S; X)$. Then

$$\left\| \int_S x \cdot y \, d\mu \right\|_Y^2 \leq \left\| \int_S x^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y \left\| \int_S y^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y.$$

PROOF: Let $\lambda > 0$. Then $x - \lambda y \in L^2(S; X)$, and by Lemma A.7,

$$\int_S (x - \lambda y)^2 \, d\mu \succeq 0,$$

so

$$2\lambda \int_S x \cdot y \, d\mu \preceq \int_S x^2 \, d\mu + \lambda^2 \int_S y^2 \, d\mu.$$

Similarly (by considering $(x + \lambda y)^2$),

$$-2\lambda \int_S x \cdot y \, d\mu \preceq \int_S x^2 \, d\mu + \lambda^2 \int_S y^2 \, d\mu,$$

so

$$2\lambda \left| \int_S x \cdot y \, d\mu \right| \preceq \left| \int_S x^2 \, d\mu + \lambda^2 \int_S y^2 \, d\mu \right|,$$

and therefore

$$\left\| \int_S x \cdot y \, d\mu \right\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\| \int_S x^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \int_S y^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y,$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. Now choose

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\left\| \int_S x^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y}{\left\| \int_S y^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y}}.$$

$\square$

Let X and Y be Banach spaces, $\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ a multiplication operation and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be a filtration on Ω . As for real-valued stochastic processes, here we say that a process $x : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted if for all $t \in [0, T]$ the vector valued random variable $x(t, \cdot)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable.

2.6. Martingales. In the remainder of this work, we assume that the stochastic process $(M(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a cadlag martingale starting at 0.

Under these conditions there exists (see [4], Theorem VI.36.6) a unique increasing process $[M]$, called the *quadratic variation process of M* , such that

- (i) $[M](0) = 0$;
- (ii) $M^2 - [M]$ is a uniformly integrable martingale;
- (iii) $\Delta[M] = (\Delta M)^2$ on $(0, \infty)$.

Here, for a cadlag function f , Δf is the function on $(0, \infty)$ with

$$(\Delta f)(t) := f(t) - f(t-).$$

Since $[M]$ is increasing, it follows that $[M]$ is locally of finite variation, almost surely. Result (iii) tells us that $[M]$ is a cadlag process as well. Because $[M]$ is of finite variation, the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral

$$\int_0^T x(s) d[M](s)$$

is defined a.s. for functions x that are integrable with respect to the measure $\mu_{[M]}$ associated to $[M]$.

For an interval $I \subset [0, \infty)$, we write $L_M^2(\Omega \times I; X) = L^2((\Omega, \mathbb{P}) \times (I, \mu_{[M]}; X)$ for the space of (equivalence classes of) functions $x : \Omega \times I \rightarrow X$, measurable with respect to $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu_{[M]}$ with

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_I \|x(s)\|_X^2 d[M](s) \right] < \infty.$$

Furthermore we write $L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times I; X)$ for the space of adapted functions in $L_M^2(\Omega \times I; X)$.

2.7. Elementary processes. We call a process $x \in L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$ *elementary* (denoted $x \in \mathcal{E}_M$) if it has the form

$$(2) \quad x(t, \omega) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega,$$

where $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t_{n+1} = T$, and where $x_i \in L^2((\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_i}); X)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Because $x \in L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$, necessarily

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{E} [\|x_i\|_X^2 ([M](t_{i+1}) - [M](t_i))] < \infty, \quad i = 0, \dots, n.$$

Note that for $M = W$ a Brownian motion, we have that (3) holds for all $x_i \in L^2(\Omega; X)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, by independence of $\sigma(W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i))$ and $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$.

The next result is a slightly modified version of Proposition 3.3 in [2].

2.8. Lemma. Let X be a Banach space and let $x \in L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$. Define, for $t \in (0, T)$,

$$x_t(s) = \begin{cases} x(s - t), & s \in [t, T] \\ 0, & s \in [0, t). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|x_t - x\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)} = 0.$$

PROOF: If x has the form $x = a\mathbb{1}_{A \times I}$, where $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $I \in \mathcal{B}([0, T])$ and $a \in X$, then

$$\|x_t(s) - x(s)\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0 \quad \text{for almost all } (\omega, s) \in [0, T] \times \Omega.$$

By dominated convergence,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \|x_t(s) - x(s)\|_X^2 d[M](s) \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

Therefore the result holds when x is a simple function. The general case follows by approximation. $\square$

2.9. Lemma. $\mathcal{E}_M$ is dense in $L_M^{2,a}$.

PROOF: Let $x \in L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Lemma 2.8, we can choose $N \geq 2$ so large that

$$\|x - x_{\frac{T}{N}}\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)} < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Because x is adapted, we see that $x_{\frac{T}{N}}(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t - \frac{T}{N}}$ -measurable for all $t \in [0, T]$. For $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$, since $x_{\frac{T}{N}}(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{kT}{N}}$ -measurable for all $t \in [\frac{kT}{N}, \frac{(k+1)T}{N})$, the restricted function $x_{\frac{T}{N}}|_{[\frac{kT}{N}, \frac{(k+1)T}{N})}$ can be approximated by a simple function $\phi_k : [\frac{kT}{N}, \frac{(k+1)T}{N}) \rightarrow L^2((\Omega; \mathcal{F}_{\frac{kT}{N}}), X)$, such that $\phi_k \in L_M^2(\Omega \times [\frac{kT}{N}, \frac{(k+1)T}{N}); X)$ and

$$\left\| x_{\frac{T}{N}}|_{[\frac{kT}{N}, \frac{(k+1)T}{N})} - \phi_k \right\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [\frac{kT}{N}, \frac{(k+1)T}{N}); X)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(N-1)}.$$

Define $\phi : [0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Omega; X)$ by gluing together the functions ϕ_k :

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} \phi_k(t), & t \in \left[\frac{kT}{N}, \frac{(k+1)T}{N}\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ 0, & t \in \left[0, \frac{T}{N}\right) \end{cases}$$

Then, for $t \in \left[\frac{kT}{N}, \frac{(k+1)T}{N}\right)$, because $\phi(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{kT}{N}}$ -measurable, $\phi(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable, so ϕ is adapted, i.e. $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_M$.

Furthermore,

$$\|x_{\frac{T}{N}} - \phi\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)} < (N-1) \frac{\varepsilon}{2(N-1)} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon,$$

which shows that

$$\|x - \phi\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)} < \varepsilon.$$

□

2.10. Definition (Stochastic integral of an elementary process). For $x \in \mathcal{E}_M$, $x = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}$ define the *integral of x with respect to M* by

$$\int_0^t x(s) dM(s) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i (M(t_{i+1} \wedge t) - M(t_i \wedge t)).$$

2.11. Lemma (The Itô isometry). If $x \in \mathcal{E}_M$ then

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t x(s)^2 d[M](s) \right], \quad t \in [0, T].$$

As a consequence, $\int_0^t x(s) dM(s) \in L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$.

PROOF: It suffices to show this for $t = T$, because for $t < T$ the function $s \mapsto x(s) \mathbb{1}_{s \leq t}$ is elementary if x is elementary.

Write $x = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}$. For $i, j = 0, \dots, n$, if $i < j$, by Lemma 1.5 and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} [(x_i \cdot x_j)(M(t_{i+1}) - M(t_i))(M(t_{j+1}) - M(t_j))] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E}[(x_i \cdot x_j)(M(t_{i+1}) - M(t_i))(M(t_{j+1}) - M(t_j)) | \mathcal{F}_{t_j}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [(x_i \cdot x_j)(M(t_{i+1}) - M(t_i)) \mathbb{E}[M(t_{j+1}) - M(t_j) | \mathcal{F}_{t_j}]] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = j$, then by Lemma 2.3, and by the definition of the quadratic variation $[M]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [x_i^2 (M(t_{i+1}) - M(t_i))^2] &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E}[x_i^2 (M(t_{i+1}) - M(t_i))^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [x_i^2 \mathbb{E}[(M(t_{i+1}) - M(t_i))^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [x_i^2 \mathbb{E}[M(t_{i+1})^2 - M(t_i)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [x_i^2 \mathbb{E}[[M](t_{i+1}) - [M](t_i) | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [x_i^2 ([M](t_{i+1}) - [M](t_i))] .\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T x(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] &= \sum_{i,j=0}^n \mathbb{E} [(x_i \cdot x_j)(M(t_{i+1}) - M(t_i))(M(t_{j+1}) - M(t_j))] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{E} [x_i^2 ([M](t_{i+1}) - [M](t_i))] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T x^2(s) d[M](s) \right] .\end{aligned}$$

□

As in the real-valued case, the Itô isometry will enable us to define the stochastic integral for a broader class of integrands. The first step is to define the class of integrable functions. Loosely speaking, we will construct a space $\mathcal{M}_M$ of functions $x : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow X$ that are integrable with respect to M . Furthermore, we construct a space $\mathcal{M}_t$ so that $\int_0^t x(s) dM(s) \in \mathcal{M}_t$, so the evaluation of the stochastic integral at time t belongs to $\mathcal{M}_t$, for $x \in \mathcal{M}_M$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

2.12. Lemma. For any measure space (S, μ) the mapping

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)} : L^2(S; X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)} = \left\| \int_S x^2 d\mu \right\|_Y^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is continuous and defines a norm on $L^2(S; X)$. Furthermore the important estimate

$$(5) \quad \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)} \leq \|x\|_{L^2(S;X)}$$

holds.

PROOF: Because

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)}^2 = \left\| \int_S x^2 d\mu \right\|_Y \leq \int_S \|x\|_X^2 d\mu,$$

if $x \in L^2(S; X)$, then $\|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)} < \infty$, and if $x = 0$, then $\|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)} = 0$.

Obviously, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in L^2(S; X)$

$$\|\alpha x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)} = |\alpha| \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)}.$$

Let $x, y \in L^2(S; X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)}^2 &= \left\| \int_S (x + y)^2 d\mu \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \left\| \int_S x^2 d\mu \right\|_Y + \left\| \int_S y^2 d\mu \right\|_Y + 2 \left\| \int_S x \cdot y d\mu \right\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5,

$$\left\| \int_S x \cdot y d\mu \right\|_Y \leq \left\| \int_S x^2 d\mu \right\|_Y^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \int_S y^2 d\mu \right\|_Y^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which shows that

$$\|x + y\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)}^2 \leq \left(\left\| \int_S x^2 d\mu \right\|_Y^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| \int_S y^2 d\mu \right\|_Y^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 = (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2.$$

Suppose now that $x \neq 0$ in $L^2(S; X)$. Therefore $x \neq 0$ in X on a set A with $\mu(A) > 0$. Then, by assumption (iii) in Definition 2.1, $x^2 \neq 0$ on A . Lemma A.10 shows that

$$\int_S x^2 \mathbb{1}_A d\mu \neq 0,$$

and therefore

$$\left\| \int_S x^2 d\mu \right\|_Y \geq \left\| \int_S x^2 \mathbb{1}_A d\mu \right\|_Y > 0.$$

□

2.13. Definition (Stochastically integrable functions). With $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)}$ as in Lemma 2.12, define $\mathcal{M}(S; X)$ to be the completion of $L^2(S; X)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)}$.

Let $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_M} := \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_M(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)} := \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)}$ as defined in Lemma 2.12 where we take $\mathbb{P} \times \mu_{[M]}$ as the measure on $\Omega \times [0, T]$. Define $\mathcal{M}_M$, the *space of stochastically integrable functions with respect to M* , to be the completion of $\mathcal{E}_M$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_M}$.

Furthermore define $\mathcal{M}_t$ to be the completion of $L^2((\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t); X)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega; X)}$.

2.14. Lemma. We have

$$\mathcal{M}_M = \overline{\mathcal{E}_M} \subset \overline{L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)} = \mathcal{M}_M,$$

where the completion is taken with respect to the $\mathcal{M}_M$ -norm.

PROOF: Let $x \in L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$ be adapted. By Lemma 2.9 there exists a sequence of elementary functions $x_n \in \mathcal{E}_M$ such that

$$\|x_n - x\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x\|_{\mathcal{M}_M}^2 &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (x_n - x)^2(s) d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \|(x_n - x)^2(s)\|_Y d[M](s) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \|x_n(s) - x(s)\|_X^2 d[M](s) \right] = \|x_n - x\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $x \in \mathcal{M}_M$. Because $\mathcal{E}_M \subset L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$, the claimed result follows. $\square$

2.15. **Lemma.** If X is a Hilbert space, and we use the standard construction of Y as described in Example 2.2 (iv), then

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_M} = \|\cdot\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_M = L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; X).$$

PROOF: In this case, for $x \in \mathcal{M}_M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}_M}^2 &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t x^2(s) d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y = \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x(s), x(s))_X d[M](s) \right] \right\| \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \|x(s)\|_X^2 d[M](s) \right] = \|x\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

2.16. **Theorem and Definition (Itô integral).** Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_M$. For $t \in [0, T]$ there exists a unique $z_t \in \mathcal{M}_t$ such that for any sequence of elementary functions $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{E}_M$ with $\|x_n - x\|_{\mathcal{M}_M} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_0^t x_n(s) dM(s) \rightarrow z_t \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_t.$$

This z_t will be called the *Itô integral* of x with respect to M and will be denoted by

$$\int_0^t x(s) dM(s).$$

PROOF: Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_M$ and suppose $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{E}_M$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $\mathcal{M}_M$. For $t \in [0, T]$, by the Itô isometry (Lemma 2.11),

$\int_0^t x_n(s) dM(s) \in L^2((\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t); X)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t x_n(s) dM(s) - \int_0^t x_m(s) dM(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t}^2 &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t (x_n - x_m)(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] \right\|_Y \\ &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x_n - x_m)^2(s) d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Because $(x_n - x_m)^2(s) \succeq 0$ in Y for almost all $s \in [0, T]$, almost surely, by Lemma A.7,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x_n - x_m)^2(s) d[M](s) \right] \preceq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (x_n - x_m)^2(s) d[M](s) \right],$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t x_n(s) - x_m(s) dM(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t} &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x_n - x_m)^2(s) d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (x_n - x_m)^2(s) d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y \\ &= \|x_n - x_m\|_{\mathcal{M}_M}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\left(\int_0^t x_n dM(s) \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{M}_t$. Since $\mathcal{M}_t$ is, by definition, complete, this sequence has a limit $z_t \in \mathcal{M}_t$.

Suppose that, besides z_t , also $\zeta_t \in \mathcal{M}_t$ such that $\int_0^t \xi_n(s) dM(s) \rightarrow \zeta_t$ for some sequence $\xi_n \rightarrow x$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_t - \zeta_t\|_{\mathcal{M}_t}^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t x_n(s) dM(s) - \int_0^t \xi_n(s) dM(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t}^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x_n(s) - \xi_n(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] \right\|_Y \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x_n(s) - \xi_n(s))^2 d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (x_n(s) - \xi_n(s))^2 d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \xi_n\|_{\mathcal{M}_M}^2 \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - x\|_{\mathcal{M}_M} + \|x - \xi_n\|_{\mathcal{M}_M})^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $z_t = \zeta_t$ and hence that the integral is uniquely defined. $\square$

3. PROPERTIES OF $\mathcal{M}(S; X)$

In Section 2 we introduced the space $\mathcal{M}(S; X)$. In this section some properties of this space will be developed. Throughout this section the spaces X, Y and the mapping $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 \cdot x_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the properties of Definition 2.1, and we use the $\mathcal{M}(\cdot, \cdot)$ -spaces as introduced in Definition 2.13. Furthermore, (S, Σ, μ) is a finite measure spaces and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space.

3.1. **Lemma.** The following estimates hold:

(i)

$$\|\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega; X)} \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega; X)}.$$

where $x \in L^2((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X)$, and $\mathcal{G}$ a sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{F}$,

(ii)

$$\left\| \int_S Ax \, d\mu \right\|_{\overline{V}} = \left\| \left(\int_S Ax \, d\mu \right)^2 \right\|_W^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{\mu(S) \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y; W)}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)},$$

where

- $x \in L^2(S; X)$,
- V is a Banach space, W a Banach lattice, and $(v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_1 \cdot v_2 : V \times V \rightarrow W$ a multiplication that satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.1 (e.g. take $V = X$, $W = Y$);
- $A \in \mathcal{L}(X; V)$ such that there exists a $B \in \mathcal{L}(Y; W)$ such that $(Ax)^2 \preceq B(x^2)$ for all $x \in X$;
- $\overline{V}$ the completion of V with respect to the norm $\|v\|_{\overline{V}} = \|v^2\|_W^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

(iii)

$$\|Ax\|_{\overline{V}} = \|(Ax)^2\|_W^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y; W)}} \|x\|_X,$$

with the same notation and conditions as under (ii).

(iv)

$$\left\| \int_S Ax^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Z \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y; Z)} \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)}^2,$$

where $A \in \mathcal{L}(Y; Z)$ with Z a normed space, and $x \in L^2(S; X)$.

(v)

$$\left\| \int_S x \cdot y \, d\mu \right\| \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)} \|y\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X)},$$

where $x, y \in L^2(S; X)$.

PROOF:

- (i) By Jensen's inequality for vector valued conditional expectation (Theorem 1.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}]\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega;X)}^2 &= \|\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{G}])^2]\|_Y \leq \|\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x^2|\mathcal{G}]]\|_Y \\ &= \|\mathbb{E}[x^2]\|_Y = \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega;X)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Again by using Jensen's theorem (this time Corollary 1.12), and by Lemma A.7, the result follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_S Ax \, d\mu \right\|_{\overline{V}}^2 &= \left\| \left(\int_S Ax \, d\mu \right)^2 \right\|_W \leq \left\| \mu(S) \int_S (Ax)^2 \, d\mu \right\|_W \\ &\leq |\mu(S)| \left\| \int_S Bx^2 \, d\mu \right\|_W = |\mu(S)| \left\| B \int_S x^2 \, d\mu \right\|_W \\ &\leq |\mu(S)| \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y;W)} \left\| \int_S x^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y = |\mu(S)| \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y;W)} \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) This is an immediate consequence of (ii) by letting S consist of only one point, having measure one.

- (iv)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_S Ax^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Z &= \left\| A \int_S x^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Z \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y;Z)} \left\| \int_S x^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y \\ &= \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y;Z)} \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (v) A restatement of Cauchy-Schwarz, Lemma 2.5. □

3.2. Corollary. The mappings mentioned in Lemma 3.1, i.e. (notation and conditions as in the previous lemma)

- (i) $\mathbb{E}[\cdot|\mathcal{G}] : L^2((\Omega, \mathcal{F}); X) \rightarrow L^2((\Omega, \mathcal{G}); X);$
- (ii,a) $x \mapsto \int_S Ax \, d\mu : L^2(S; X) \rightarrow \overline{V};$
- (ii,b) $x \mapsto \left(\int_S Ax \, d\mu \right)^2 : L^2(S; X) \rightarrow W;$
- (iii,a) $x \mapsto Ax : X \rightarrow \overline{V};$
- (iii,b) $x \mapsto (Ax)^2 : X \rightarrow W;$
- (iv) $x \mapsto \int_S Ax^2 \, d\mu : L^2(S; X) \rightarrow Z;$
- (v) $(x, y) \mapsto \int_S x \cdot y \, d\mu : L^2(S; X) \times L^2(S; X) \rightarrow Y;$

are continuous with respect to the $\mathcal{M}(S; X)$ norm³. Therefore these mappings are well-defined on $\mathcal{M}(S; X)$ and the estimates of the lemma remain valid.

³Under (v), with respect to the product topology on $L^2(S; X) \times L^2(S; X)$ induced by the $\mathcal{M}(S; X)$ norm

3.3. Lemma. Let (X^1, Y^1) and (X^2, Y^2) be two pairs of Banach spaces for which the conditions of Definition 2.1 hold.

Then $X^1 \times X^2$ satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.1 as well, and

$$\mathcal{M}(S; X^1) \times \mathcal{M}(S; X^2) \cong \mathcal{M}(S; X^1 \times X^2).$$

PROOF: Define multiplication by $\cdot : (X^1 \times X^2) \times (X^1 \times X^2) \rightarrow Y^1 \times Y^2$ by

$$(x_1, x_2) \cdot (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 \times y_1, x_2 \times y_2).$$

Then this multiplication satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.1.

We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_1, x_2)\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^1 \times X^2)}^2 &= \left\| \int_S (x_1^2(t), x_2^2(t)) \, d\mu \right\|_{Y^1 \times Y^2} \\ &= \left\| \int_S x_1^2 \, d\mu \right\|_{Y^1} + \left\| \int_S x_2^2 \, d\mu \right\|_{Y^2} \\ &= \|x_1\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^1)}^2 + \|x_2\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^2)}^2 \\ &\leq (\|x_1\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^1)} + \|x_2\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^2)})^2 \\ &= \|(x_1, x_2)\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^1) \times \mathcal{M}(S; X^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_1, x_2)\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^1) \times \mathcal{M}(S; X^2)}^2 &= (\|x_1\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^1)} + \|x_2\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^2)})^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left(\|x_1\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^1)}^2 + \|x_2\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^2)}^2 \right) \\ &= 2 \|(x_1, x_2)\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; X^1 \times X^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

showing that the norms of $\mathcal{M}(S; X^1 \times X^2)$ and $\mathcal{M}(S; X^1) \times \mathcal{M}(S; X^2)$ are equivalent.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(S; X^1 \times X^2) &= \overline{L^2(S; X^1 \times X^2)} = \overline{L^2(S; X^1) \times L^2(S; X^2)} \\ &= \overline{L^2(S; X^1)} \times \overline{L^2(S; X^2)} = \mathcal{M}(S; X^1) \times \mathcal{M}(S; X^2), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\mathcal{M}(S; X^1 \times X^2)$ and $\mathcal{M}(S; X^1) \times \mathcal{M}(S; X^2)$ contain the same elements. $\square$

3.4. Lemma. Define a bilinear, symmetric mapping $\circ : \mathcal{M}(S; X) \times \mathcal{M}(S; X) \rightarrow Y$ by

$$x_1 \circ x_2 = \int_S x_1 \cdot x_2 \, d\mu.$$

Then this mapping satisfies the requirements of a multiplication as in Definition 2.1.

PROOF: Note that by Cauchy-Schwarz (Lemma 2.5),

$$\left\| \int_S x_1 \cdot x_2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y \leq \left\| \int_S x_1^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \int_S x_2^2 \, d\mu \right\|_Y^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which makes the mapping ‘ $\circ$ ’ welldefined, and ensures that the multiplication satisfies the first condition, namely

$$\|x \circ y\|_Y \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)} \|y\|_{\mathcal{M}(S;X)}.$$

Lemmas A.7 and A.10 show that the other two conditions are also satisfied. $\square$

The above lemma makes it possible for us to discuss the space $\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$, where (S, μ) and (T, λ) are finite measure spaces.

3.5. Lemma. For S and T finite measure spaces, and (X, Y) as usual, there exists an isometric isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$ and $\mathcal{M}(S \times T; X)$.

PROOF: First we will show that the norms are equivalent.⁴

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))}^2 &= \left\| \int_S x \circ x \, d\mu \right\|_Y \\ &= \left\| \int_S \left(\int_T x^2 \, d\lambda \right) d\mu \right\|_Y \\ &= \left\| \int_{S \times T} x^2 \, (d\mu \otimes d\lambda) \right\|_Y \\ &= \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S \times T; X)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that the two spaces contain ‘the same elements’. We have $L^2(S \times T; X) \cong L^2(S; L^2(T; X)) \hookrightarrow L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$, so that

$$\mathcal{M}(S \times T; X) = \overline{L^2(S \times T; X)} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} = \mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$$

since the norms of $\mathcal{M}(S \times T; X)$ and $\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$ are equal, as shown above.

Write $\iota : L^2(T; X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(T; X)$, $\gamma : L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$ and $\eta : L^2(S \times T; X) \hookrightarrow L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$ for some linear embeddings that we will need. Note that, by Lemma 2.12, $\|\gamma\| \leq 1$, $\|\iota\| \leq 1$ and $\|\eta\| \leq 1$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Now if $x \in \mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$, then there exists a $y \in L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$ such that $\|x - \gamma(y)\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} < \varepsilon/3$. In turn, y can be approximated by a simple function $z = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ where the A_i are disjoint, measurable sets, and $z_i \in \mathcal{M}(T; X)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\|y - z\|_{L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} <$

⁴This is the essence of the proof; the rest are verifications to be mathematically precise.

$\varepsilon/3$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, choose $\zeta_i \in L^2(T; X)$ so that $\|\iota(\zeta_i) - z_i\|_{\mathcal{M}(T; X)} < \varepsilon/(3n\sqrt{\mu(A_i)})$. Then $\zeta := \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \in L^2(S; L^2(T; X)) \cong L^2(S \times T; X)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(\zeta) - z\|_{L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\iota(\zeta_i) - z_i) \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \right\|_{L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\iota(\zeta_i) - z_i\|_{\mathcal{M}(T; X)} \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\mu(A_i)} \|\iota(\zeta_i) - z_i\|_{\mathcal{M}(T; X)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\gamma \circ \eta)(\zeta) - x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} &\leq \|(\gamma \circ \eta)(\zeta) - \gamma(z)\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} + \|\gamma(z - y)\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} \\ &\quad + \|\gamma(y) - x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} \\ &\leq \|(\gamma \circ \eta)(\zeta) - z\|_{L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} + \|z - y\|_{L^2(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} \\ &\quad + \|\gamma(y) - x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} \\ &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $L^2(S \times T; X)$ is densely embedded in $\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$, i.e. $\overline{(\gamma \circ \eta)(L^2(S \times T; X))} = \mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$.

Now because for $x \in L^2(S \times T; X)$, we have that $\|(\gamma \circ \eta)(x)\|_{\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}(S \times T; X)}$ as shown by (6), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X)) &= \overline{(\gamma \circ \eta)(L^2(S \times T; X))} = (\gamma \circ \eta) \left(\overline{L^2(S \times T; X)} \right) \\ &= (\gamma \circ \eta) (\mathcal{M}(S \times T; X)), \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the isometric isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}(S \times T; X)$ and $\mathcal{M}(S; \mathcal{M}(T; X))$. $\square$

4. PROPERTIES OF THE STOCHASTIC INTEGRAL

In this section we will verify several properties of stochastic integration, as developed in Section 2. Unless stated otherwise, X is a Banach space with multiplication $\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.1, and M is a cadlag martingale starting at 0.

4.1. Proposition (Itô's isometry). Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_M(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$. Then for $t \in [0, T]$, both

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t x^2(s) d[M](s) \right]$$

are welldefined, and equal.

PROOF: Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an approximation of x by elementary functions in $\mathcal{E}_M$. Then, by Theorem 2.16,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x_n(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] =: \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right],$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [x_n^2] d[M](s) =: \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [x^2(s)] d[M](s)$$

are welldefined elements of Y .

Because, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x_n(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t x_n(s)^2 d[M](s) \right],$$

the limits are equal. □

4.2. **Lemma.** The mapping $t \mapsto \int_0^t x(s) dM(s)$ is an element of

$$L^{2,a}([0, T]; \mathcal{M}_T) := \overline{\{x \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; X)) : x \text{ adapted}\}},$$

where the closure is taken with respect to the $L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{M}_T)$ -norm.

By the Itô isometry, for $x \in \mathcal{M}_M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\| \int_0^t x(s) dM(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_T}^2 dt &= \int_0^T \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] \right\|_Y dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t x^2(s) d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T x^2(s) d[M](s) \right] \right\|_Y dt \\ &= T \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}_M}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\left(t \mapsto \int_0^t x(s) dM(s) \right) \in L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{M}_T)$. Adaptedness follows from the definition of the Itô integral. □

4.3. **Lemma.** If $M = W$ is a Brownian motion, then $L^{2,a}([0, T]; \mathcal{M}_T) \subset \mathcal{M}_W$.

PROOF: If $x \in L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{M}_T)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}_W}^2 &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T x^2(s) ds \right] \right\|_Y = \left\| \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [x^2(s)] ds \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \int_0^T \left\| \mathbb{E} [x^2(s)] \right\|_Y ds = \|x\|_{L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{M}_T)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.4. **Corollary.** If $M = W$ is a Brownian motion and $x \in \mathcal{M}_W$, then the mapping $t \mapsto \int_0^t x(s) dW(s)$ is again an element of $\mathcal{M}_W$.

PROOF: This is an immediate consequence of the two previous results. □

4.5. **Lemma.** If $x \in L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$, and $\phi \in X^*$, then

$$\phi \left(\int_0^T x(s) dM(s) \right) = \int_0^T \phi(x(s)) dM(s), \quad \text{a.s.}$$

The proof is straightforward and omitted.

4.6. **Lemma.** Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_M$, and $\phi \in X^*$. Suppose there exists a $\psi \in Y^*$ such that

$$\phi(\xi)^2 \leq \psi(\xi^2), \quad \text{for all } \xi \in X.$$

Then

$$\phi \left(\int_0^T x(s) dM(s) \right) = \int_0^T \phi(x(s)) dM(s), \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF: For $x \in \mathcal{E}_M$ the claim is true. Suppose $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an approximating sequence of elementary functions for x in $\mathcal{M}_M$. The result follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\phi \left(\int_0^T x_n(s) dM(s) - \int_0^T x_m(s) dM(s) \right) \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(\left(\int_0^T x_n(s) - x_m(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right) \right] \\ & = \psi \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T x_n(s) - x_m(s) dM(s) \right)^2 \right] \right) \\ & = \psi \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (x_n - x_m)(s)^2 d[M](s) \right] \right) \\ & \leq \|\psi\|_{Y^*} \|x_n - x_m\|_{\mathcal{M}_M}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.7. **Proposition.** Assume $M = W$ is a Brownian motion. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_W$. The mapping

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t x(s) dW(s)$$

is a continuous mapping from $[0, T]$ into $\mathcal{M}_T$.

PROOF: It suffices to show continuity at $t = 0$.

First we will show the result for $x \in \mathcal{E}_M$. Write

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}.$$

For $t < t_1$, then

$$\int_0^t x(s) dW(s) = x_0 W(t),$$

and, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\left\| \int_0^t x(s) dW(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_T}^2 = \left\| \mathbb{E} [x_0^2 W(t)^2] \right\|_Y = \left\| \mathbb{E} [t x_0^2] \right\|_Y \leq t \|x_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, X)}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Now for $x \in \mathcal{M}_W$, choose $y \in \mathcal{E}_W$ such that

$$\|x - y\|_{\mathcal{M}_W} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Choose $t > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \int_0^t y(s) dW(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_T} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t x(s) - y(s) dW(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_T}^2 &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x(s) - y(s) dW(s) \right)^2 \right] \right\|_Y \\ &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x(s) - y(s))^2 ds \right] \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (x(s) - y(s))^2 ds \right] \right\|_Y = \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{M}_W}^2 < (\varepsilon/2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\left\| \int_0^t x(s) dW(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_T} \leq \left\| \int_0^t x(s) - y(s) dW(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_T} + \left\| \int_0^t y(s) dW(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_T} < \varepsilon.$$

□

5. THE ITÔ INTEGRAL IN $C(K)$

Throughout this section, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a filtration on Ω , K a compact metric space, and $X = Y = C(K)$. We say a process is adapted when it is adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

5.1. Proposition. For $\mathcal{M}_M$ as defined in Definition 2.13, with $X, Y = C(K)$,

$$\mathcal{M}_M \cong C(K; L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T])).$$

5.1.1. *Lemma.* Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.1, let $x \in L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; C(K))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &:= \left(\sup_{k \in K} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T x(t, \cdot, k)^2 d[M](t) \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sup_{k \in K} \|x(\cdot, \cdot, k)\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T])}. \end{aligned}$$

□

5.1.2. *Lemma.* After a change of variable order,

$$L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; C(K)) \subset C(K; L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T])).$$

PROOF: Let $x \in L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]; C(K))$. By the previous lemma, and by (5),

$$\|x\|_{C(K; L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]))} = \sup_{k \in K} \|x(\cdot, \cdot, k)\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T])} = \|x\| \leq \|x\|_{L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; C(K))} < \infty.$$

Let $k \in K$. For almost all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$, $|x(t, \omega, k) - x(t, \omega, l)| \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow k$ in K . Because $|x(t, \omega, k) - x(t, \omega, l)| \leq 2\|x(t, \omega, \cdot)\|_K$, and $\int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\|x(t)\|_K^2] dt < \infty$, by dominated convergence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \|x(t, \cdot, k) - x(t, \cdot, l)\|_K^2 d[M](t) \right] \rightarrow 0, \quad l \rightarrow k,$$

so $x \in C(K; L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]))$.

For almost all $t \in [0, T]$ we have that $x(t) \in L^2(\Omega; C(K))$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable. Because measurability implies weak measurability, $x(t, \cdot, k)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for all $k \in K$. This implies that, for all $k \in K$, $x(t, \cdot, k)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, i.e. for all $k \in K$ there exists an $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted version of $x(\cdot, \cdot, k)$. □

5.1.3. *Lemma.* The space $C(K; L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]))$ is complete.

PROOF: Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $C(K; L_M^{2,a}(\Omega \times [0, T]))$. Because $C(K; L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]))$ is complete, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a limit $x \in C(K; L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T]))$.

For $k \in K$, $x_n(k) \rightarrow x(k)$ in $L_M^2(\Omega \times [0, T])$. This implies $\Omega \times [0, T]$ -almost everywhere convergence of a subsequence, $(x_{n_l}(k))$ say. For almost all $t \in [0, T]$, $x_{n_l}(k, t) \in L^2(\Omega)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable, and so is its limit $x(k, t)$. This shows that $x(k)$ is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted for all $k \in K$. □

5.1.4. *Lemma.* Let (S, Σ, μ) be a measure space and let K be a compact set. Then

$$\{y \in L^2(S; C(K)) : y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i, \text{ with } \alpha_i \in C(K), y_i \in L^2(S), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

is dense in $C(K; L^2(S))$.

PROOF: If $y \in L^2(S; C(K))$, then

$$\|y\|_{C(K; L^2(S))}^2 = \sup_{k \in K} \|y(k)\|_{L^2(S)}^2 \leq \int_S \sup_{k \in K} y(k)^2 d\mu = \|y\|_{L^2(S; C(K))}^2 < \infty.$$

Let $x \in C(K; L^2(S))$. Choose an $\varepsilon > 0$. Because K is compact, x takes its values in a compact subset of $L^2(S)$. Since the collection of balls

$$B(y, \varepsilon/2) = \{\xi \in L^2(S) : \|\xi - y\|_{L^2(S)} < \varepsilon/2\}, \quad y \in x(K),$$

is an open cover of $x(K)$, there exists a finite set $y_1, \dots, y_n \in x(K)$ (where we may assume that $y_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$), such that

$$(7) \quad x(K) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(y_i, \varepsilon/3).$$

Now $\text{span}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is a closed linear subspace of $L^2(S)$. Let $P : L^2(S) \rightarrow \text{span}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ denote the orthogonal projection from $L^2(S)$ onto $\text{span}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Then for $x \in L^2(S)$,

$$Px = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle x, y_i \rangle}{\|y_i\|_{L^2(S)}^2} y_i$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product on $L^2(S)$. Define

$$y : K \mapsto L^2(S), \quad k \mapsto P[x(k)] = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(k) y_i,$$

with

$$\alpha_i : k \mapsto \frac{\langle x(k), y_i \rangle}{\|y_i\|_{L^2(S)}^2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Furthermore, for $k \in K$, let $j : K \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x(k) \in B(y_{j(k)}, \varepsilon/2)$ (possible because of (7)). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(k) - y(k)\|_{L^2(S)} &\leq \|x(k) - y_{j(k)}\|_{L^2(S)} + \|y_{j(k)} - y(k)\|_{L^2(S)} \\ &= \|x(k) - y_{j(k)}\|_{L^2(S)} + \|P[y_{j(k)}] - P[x(k)]\|_{L^2(S)} \\ &\leq 2\|x(k) - y_{j(k)}\|_{L^2(S)} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sup_{k \in K} \|x(k) - y(k)\|_{L^2(S)} < \varepsilon.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $k, l \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_i(k) - \alpha_i(l)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle x(k) - x(l), y_i \rangle}{\|y_i\|_{L^2(S)}^2} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|x(k) - x(l)\|_{L^2(S)}}{\|y_i\|_{L^2(S)}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, because $x \in C(K; L^2(S))$, we see that $\alpha_i \in C(K), i = 1, \dots, n$. So

$$y : S \rightarrow C(K), \quad m \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(m) \alpha_i,$$

has the correct form, is strongly measurable, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{L^2(S; C(K))}^2 &= \int_S \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i(m) \right\|_{C(K)}^2 d\mu(m) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\alpha_i\|_{C(K)}^2 \int_S |y_i|^2 d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\alpha_i\|_{C(K)}^2 \|y_i\|_{L^2(S)}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

5.1.5. *Lemma.* $\mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; C(K)))$ is dense in

$$\{x \in C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)) : x \text{ is adapted to } (\mathcal{F}_t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]\}.$$

PROOF: Let $x \in C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))$ be adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. By Lemma 5.1.4, there is an $y \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; C(K))$ such that

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i,$$

with $\alpha_i \in C(K)$ and $y_i \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and such that

$$\|y - x\|_{C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Because the adapted functions form a closed subspace of $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$, there exists an orthogonal projection operator

$$Q : L^2([0, T] \times \Omega) \rightarrow \{x \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega) : x \text{ adapted to } (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}\}.$$

When we apply Proposition 2.9 to $X = \mathbb{R}$, this shows that $\mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ is dense in $\{x \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega) : x \text{ adapted to } (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}\}$. Therefore, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists an $z_i \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ such that

$$\|z_i - Qy_i\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2n(\|\alpha_i\|_{C(K)} + 1)}.$$

Then, for

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i,$$

we find that

$$\|z - x\|_{C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} \leq \|z - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Q y_i\|_{C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} + \|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Q y_i - x\|_{C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Q y_i\|_{C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} &= \|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (z_i - Q y_i)\|_{C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in K} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i(k)| \|z_i - Q y_i\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\alpha_i\|_{C(K)} \|z_i - Q y_i\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)} < \varepsilon/2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Q y_i - x\|_{C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} &= \sup_{k \in K} \|Q[y(k)] - Q[x(k)]\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)} \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in K} \|y(k) - x(k)\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)} < \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|x - z\|_{C(K; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} < \varepsilon$, and $z \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; C(K)))$. $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.1 : The desired result is obtained from Lemma 5.1.2, Lemma 5.1.3 and Lemma 5.1.5.

5.2. **Corollary.** Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.1, for $t \in [0, T]$

$$R_t \cong C(K; L^2((\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t))).$$

PROOF: The proof of this statement is a simplified version of the proof of Proposition 5.1. $\square$

5.3. **Proposition.** Let $K = [a, b]$, a closed interval in $\mathbb{R}$, and let $X = C([a, b])$. Suppose $x \in R \subset C([a, b], L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))$, and $t \in [0, T]$, and

$$|x(\tau)(s, \omega) - x(\sigma)(s, \omega)| \leq L(\omega) |\tau - \sigma|^\beta,$$

with $\mathbb{E}[L^2] < \infty$ and $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, for all $s \in [0, t]$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

Then there exists a version of

$$\sigma \mapsto \int_0^t x(s) dW(s)(\sigma)$$

that is Hölder continuous on $[a, b]$ with exponent γ , for every $\gamma \in (0, \beta - \frac{1}{2})$.

PROOF: By the mentioned assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x(s) dW(s)(\tau) - \int_0^t x(s) dW(s)(\sigma) \right)^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x(s)(\tau) - x(s)(\sigma))^2 ds \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[L^2 \int_0^t |\tau - \sigma|^{2\beta} ds \right] = t \mathbb{E}[L^2] |\tau - \sigma|^{2\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Kolmogorov's continuity criterion ([3], Theorem 2.2.8), states that

$$\sigma \mapsto \int_0^t x(s)(\sigma) dW(s)$$

has a Hölder continuous version with exponent γ , for every $\gamma \in (0, \beta - \frac{1}{2})$.
□

6. THE ITÔ INTEGRAL IN $L^p(\mu)$

6.1. Proposition. Let (S, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. Let $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose $X = L^p(S; \mathbb{R})$ and $Y = L^{p/2}(\mu)$ (possibly with $p = p/2 = \infty$). Then

$$R \cong \{x \in L^p((S; \mu), L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)) : x \text{ adapted to } (\mathcal{F}_t), \mu\text{-almost everywhere}\}.$$

To prove this, we need a few lemmas.

6.1.1. Lemma. In case $X = L^p(\mu)$ and $Y = L^{p/2}(\mu)$ for $p \geq 2$, then for $x \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; X))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_R &= \left\| \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[x^2(t)] dt \right\|_Y^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_S \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E}[x^2(t)] dt \right)^{p/2} d\mu \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\int_S \|x\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)}^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} = \|x\|_{L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

□

6.1.2. Lemma. After a change of variable order,

$$\mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; L^p(S))) \subset \{x \in L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)) : x \text{ adapted to } (\mathcal{F}_t), \mu\text{-almost everywhere}\}.$$

PROOF: Let $x \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; L^p(S)))$. Then by the previous lemma, $\|x\|_R = \|x\|_{L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} < \infty$, so $x \in L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))$. Suppose first that $\mu(S) < \infty$.

This x can be written as

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})} \mathbb{1}_{S_m},$$

where all the $x_i \in L^2(\Omega; L^p(S))$ are $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ -measurable.

Because $x_i \in L^2(\Omega; L^p(S)) \subset L^1(\Omega; L^1(S)) \cong L^1(\Omega \times S)$, we see that $\omega \mapsto x_i(\omega, s)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ -measurable for μ -almost all $s \in S$. So $(s, t, \omega) \mapsto x_i(\omega, s) \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t)$ is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted, for μ -almost all s , for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and so is $(s, t, \omega) \mapsto x(t, \omega, s)$.

For $\mu(S) = \infty$, let $S = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m$ with $\mu(S_m) < \infty$ for all m . A similar argument shows that $x_i \mathbb{1}_{S_m}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ -measurable for μ -almost all s and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so x_i is $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ -measurable for μ -almost all s , and the same result follows. $\square$

6.1.3. *Lemma.* Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence of μ -almost everywhere $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted functions in $L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))$. Then its limit x exists and is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted.

PROOF: Since $L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))$ is a Banach space, the limit $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ exists.

Convergence in L^p implies μ -almost everywhere convergence of a subsequence (x_{n_k}) . So $x_{n_k}(s) \rightarrow x(s)$ in $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$ for μ -almost all $s \in S$. This implies the existence of a further subsequence $(x_{n_{k_l}})$ so that $x_{n_{k_l}}(s, t) \rightarrow x(s, t)$ for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, and μ -almost all $s \in S$. Since $x_{n_{k_l}}(s)$ is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted for μ -almost all s , $x_{n_{k_l}}(s, t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, and μ -almost all $s \in S$, and so is the limit $x(s, t)$. This shows that x is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted, μ -almost everywhere. $\square$

6.1.4. *Lemma.* $\mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; L^p(S)))$ is dense in

$$\{x \in L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)) : x \text{ is adapted to } (\mathcal{F}_t), \mu\text{-almost everywhere}\}.$$

PROOF: Let $x \in L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))$, adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ and choose $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a simple function $y \in \mathcal{S}(S, L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))$ such that

$$\|x - y\|_{L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Write

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i},$$

with, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $y_i \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$ and $A_i \subseteq S_0$, with $\mu(S_0) < \infty$ (possible because of σ -finiteness of S). The set of $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted functions in $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$, so we can define the orthogonal projection

$$P : L^2([0, T] \times \Omega) \rightarrow \{x \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega) : x \text{ adapted to } (\mathcal{F}_t)\}.$$

Define

$$z := \sum_{i=1}^n P y_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - z\|_{L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))}^p &= \int_S \|x(s) - z(s)\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)}^p d\mu(s) \\ &= \int_S \|P(x(s) - y(s))\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)}^p d\mu(s) \\ &\leq \int_S \|x(s) - y(s)\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)}^p d\mu(s) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Because $P y_i \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$ is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted for $i = 1, \dots, n$, by Lemma 2.9 there exists an elementary function $a_i \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ such that

$$\|P y_i - a_i\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n\mu(S_0) + 1} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; L^p(S))),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} - z \right\|_{L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))}^p &= \int_S \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - P y_i\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)}^p \mathbb{1}_{A_i} d\mu \\ &< \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^p \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n\mu(S_0) + 1} \mu(A_i) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} - x \right\|_{L^p(S; L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} - z \right\|_{L^p(\dots)} + \|z - x\|_{L^p(\dots)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

□

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.1: The result follows from Lemmas 6.1.2, 6.1.3 and 6.1.4. □

Remark. Note the similarities between Propositions 5.1 and 6.1, Lemmas 5.1.2 and 6.1.2, Lemmas 5.1.3 and 6.1.3, and Lemmas 5.1.5 and 6.1.4.

6.2. Lemma. Let X be a function space. Then for $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in R$,

$$\int_0^t x(s)(\cdot) dW(s) = \int_0^t x(s) dW(s)(\cdot), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t x(s)(\cdot) ds = \int_0^t x(s) ds(\cdot).$$

PROOF: Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an approximation of x by elementary functions, $x_n \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; X))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_0^t x_n(s)(\cdot) dW(s) = \int_0^t x_n(s) dW(s)(\cdot),$$

because here the stochastic integral is just addition of a finite number of terms.

By the classical, real-valued Itô isometry,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t x_n(s)(\cdot) dW(s) - \int_0^t x_m(s)(\cdot) dW(s) \right\|_{R_t}^2 &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t x_n(s)(\cdot) - x_m(s)(\cdot) dW(s) \right)^2 \right] \right\|_Y \\ &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x_n(s) - x_m(s))^2(\cdot) ds \right] \right\|_Y \\ &= \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (x_n(s) - x_m(s))^2 ds \right] (\cdot) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_m\|_R^2, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence

$$\int_0^t x_n(s)(\cdot) dW(s)$$

converges to a limit in R_t , necessarily equal to

$$\int_0^t x(s) dW(s)(\cdot).$$

The proof for point evaluation of the integral $\int_0^t x(s) ds$ is similar. $\square$

6.3. Proposition. If $X = L^p(S)$, and $x \in R$, then for almost all $\sigma \in S$, $\omega \in \Omega$, the mapping

$$[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \left(\int_0^t x(s) dW(s) \right) (\sigma, \omega)$$

is continuous.

PROOF: Since $x \in R \cong L^p(S, L^2([0, T] \times \Omega))$, we have that $\|x(\sigma)\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)} < \infty$ for almost all $\sigma \in S$. Hence

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\int_0^T x^2(s)(\sigma) ds < \infty \right) < 1, \quad \text{a.a. } \sigma \in S.$$

Therefore (by [3], Definition 3.2.23) the real-valued stochastic integral,

$$t \mapsto \left(\int_0^t x(s)(\sigma) dW(s) \right) (\omega),$$

is continuous for almost all $\sigma \in S$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, and by Lemma 6.2 this is equivalent to saying that

$$t \mapsto \left(\int_0^t x(s) dW(s) \right) (\sigma, \omega)$$

is continuous for almost all $\sigma \in S$, $\omega \in \Omega$. □

APPENDIX A. VECTOR-VALUED INTEGRATION

Throughout this section, let (S, Σ, μ) denote a σ -finite measure space, and X a real Banach space with dual space X^* .

A function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is called *measurable* if $f^{-1}(V) \in \Sigma$ for every Borel set V in X .

A function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is called *weakly measurable* if $\phi(f)$ is measurable for every $\phi \in X^*$.

A.1. Weak integral. A function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is called *weakly integrable* if

- (i) f is weakly measurable,
- (ii) for every $\phi \in X^*$ the integral

$$\int_S \phi(f) d\mu$$

exists, and

- (iii) there exists an $I(f) \in X$ such that

$$\int_S \phi(f) d\mu = \phi(I(f)) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in X^*.$$

In this case $I(f)$ is called the *weak integral* of f .

Furthermore we write

$$\int_S f d\mu := I(f).$$

By Hahn-Banach the weak integral is uniquely defined.

A.2. Strong integral. A function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is called *simple* if there exist $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \Sigma$ with $\mathbb{P}(E_k) < \infty$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in X$ such that

$$f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{E_k}(s) f_k \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

A function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is called *strongly measurable* if there exists a sequence of simple functions (f_n) such that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in S$.

A function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is called μ -*strongly measurable* if it is μ -almost everywhere equal to a strongly measurable function.

A μ -strongly measurable function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is called *strongly integrable* if there exists a sequence (f_n) of simple functions such that

$$\int_S \|f - f_n\| d\mu \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $(\int_S f_n d\mu)$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and we can define the *strong integral* of f by

$$\int_S f d\mu := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S f_n d\mu.$$

Some results on weak and strong integrals are listed below.

- (i) A μ -strongly measurable function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is strongly integrable if and only if $\int_S \|f\| d\mu < \infty$.
- (ii) If X is separable, then a function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is strongly integrable if and only if it is weakly measurable and $\int_S \|f\| d\mu < \infty$.
- (iii) A function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is strongly measurable if and only if f is separably valued and weakly measurable.

A.3. L^p spaces. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ let $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu; X)$ denote the space of all strongly integrable functions $f : S \rightarrow X$ with $\int_S \|f\|^p < \infty$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu; X)$ define

$$\|f\|_p := \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mu; X)} = \left(\int_S \|f\|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a seminorm on $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu; X)$ whose kernel consists of the functions that are almost everywhere equal to 0. Let $L^p(\mu; X)$ denote the quotient space of $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu; X)$ over the kernel of $\|\cdot\|_p$ and denote its norm again by $\|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mu; X)}$.

The space $L^\infty(\mu, X)$ consists of all equivalence classes of μ -strongly measurable $f : S \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f(\cdot)\|_X$ is bounded on a μ -full set, endowed with the norm $\|f\|_\infty = \|\|f(\cdot)\|_X\|_\infty$, $f \in L^\infty(\mu, X)$.

The spaces $(L^p(\mu; X), \|\cdot\|_p)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are Banach spaces.

As for the real-valued L^p -spaces, we will use the notations

$$L^p(\mu; X) = L^p((S, \mu); X) = L^p(S; X),$$

dependent on the context in which they occur.

A.4. Proposition. If X is a function space, or a space of equivalence classes of functions, and $f \in L^1(\mu; X)$ then

$$\left(\int_S f \, d\mu \right) (\cdot) = \int_S f(\cdot) \, d\mu \quad \text{in } X,$$

i.e. evaluating the Bochner integral is equivalent to pointwise integration.

PROOF: Let (f_n) be a sequence of simple functions so that $\int_S \|f_n - f\|_X \, d\mu \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For all $f_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(\int_S f_n \, d\mu \right) (\cdot) = \int_S f_n(\cdot) \, d\mu,$$

because here integration is just a summation. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\int_S f \, d\mu \right) (\cdot) - \int_S f(\cdot) \, d\mu \right\|_X &= \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_S f_n \, d\mu \right) (\cdot) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S f_n(\cdot) \, d\mu \right\|_X \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(\int_S f_n \, d\mu \right) (\cdot) - \int_S f_n(\cdot) \, d\mu \right\|_X = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

A.5. Banach lattice. An *ordered vector space* is a vector space X over $\mathbb{R}$ with a partial order $\preceq$ such that if $x, y \in X$ and $x \preceq y$, then

- (i) $x + z \preceq y + z$ whenever $z \in X$; and
- (ii) $tx \preceq ty$ whenever $t > 0$.

A *vector lattice* is an ordered vector space X such that

- (iii) every pair of elements x, y in X has a least upper bound $x \vee y$ in X .

For every element x of a vector lattice, let the *absolute value* of x be defined by the formula $|x| = x \vee (-x)$. A real normed space X that is also a vector lattice is a *normed lattice* if

- (iv) $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ whenever $x, y \in X$ and $|x| \preceq |y|$.

A *Banach lattice* is a real Banach space that is a normed lattice.

A.6. Properties of vector lattices. Let $a, b, c \in X$.

- (i) If $a \succeq 0$, then $-a \preceq 0$;
- (ii) $a + (b \vee c) = (a + b) \vee (a + c)$;
- (iii) $|a| \geq 0$;
- (iv) $a \succeq 0$ if and only if $|a| = a$;
- (v) $\| |x| \|_X = \|x\|_X$, so $|\cdot| : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

A.7. Lemma. If X is a Banach lattice, and $x \in L^1(M; X)$, with $x \succeq 0$ on $A \subset M$, then

$$\int_M x \mathbb{1}_A d\mu \succeq 0.$$

PROOF: It suffices to consider functions $x \in L^1(M; X)$ such that $x \succeq 0$ on M , because if $x \succeq 0$ on $A \subset M$, then $x \mathbb{1}_A \succeq 0$ on M .

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of simple functions such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, μ -almost everywhere, and such that

$$\int_M \|x_n - x\|_X d\mu \rightarrow 0.$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\tilde{x}_n := x_n \vee 0$. Then $\tilde{x}_n \succeq 0$ is also a simple function.

Using some elementary properties of partial ordering and absolute value,

$$|\tilde{x}_n - x| \preceq |x_n - x|, \quad \mu\text{-almost everywhere,}$$

so

$$\|\tilde{x}_n - x\|_X \leq \|x_n - x\|_X, \quad \mu\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_M \tilde{x}_n d\mu \geq 0,$$

because the lefthand side is just a summation of positive elements.

Because $|\cdot| : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M x \mathbb{1}_A d\mu &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_M \tilde{x}_n \mathbb{1}_A d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_M \tilde{x}_n \mathbb{1}_A d\mu \right| \\ &= \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_M \tilde{x}_n \mathbb{1}_A d\mu \right| = \left| \int_M x \mathbb{1}_A d\mu \right|, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_M x \mathbb{1}_A d\mu \succeq 0.$$

□

A.8. Lemma. Let Y be a separable closed subspace of X . Then X^* contains a sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\|\phi_n\|_{X^*} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is norming for Y , that is,

$$\|y\|_X = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi_n(y)| \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.$$

PROOF: Choose a dense sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y . For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, using Hahn-Banach, choose $\phi_n \in X^*$ with $\|\phi_n\| = 1$ such that $\|x_n\|_X = \phi_n(x_n)$.

Let $y \in Y$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|y - x_n\|_X < \varepsilon/2$.

$$\|y\|_X \leq \|x_n\|_X + \|y - x_n\|_X \leq \phi_n(x_n) + \varepsilon/2 \leq \phi_n(y) + \|\phi_n\|_{X^*} \|x_n - y\|_X + \varepsilon/2,$$

$$\text{so } \phi_n(y) \geq \|y\|_X - \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

A.9. Lemma. Let X be a Banach lattice and let $\phi \in X^*$. Then there exist $\phi^+, \phi^- \in X^*$ such that

- (i) $\phi = \phi^+ - \phi^-$;
- (ii) $\phi^+(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X, x \succeq 0$;
- (iii) $\phi^-(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X, x \succeq 0$.

PROOF:

A.10. Lemma. If X is a Banach lattice, and $x \in L^1(M; X)$, with $x \succeq 0$ on M , then

$$\int_M x \, d\mu = 0$$

if and only if

$$x = 0, \quad \mu\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

PROOF: Trivially, if $x = 0$, μ -almost everywhere on M , then $\int_M x \, d\mu = 0$.

Because $x \in L^1(M; X)$, there exists a closed separable subspace $Y \subset X$ with $x(\cdot) \in Y$, μ -almost everywhere. By Lemma A.8, there exists a countable sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X^* that is norming for Y . By Lemma A.9, every ϕ_n can be written as $\phi_n = \phi_n^+ - \phi_n^-$, with ϕ_n^+, ϕ_n^- positive linear functionals.

Because $\int_M x \, d\mu = 0$,

$$\int_M \phi_n^\pm \circ x \, d\mu = \phi_n^\pm \left(\int_M x \, d\mu \right) = 0,$$

and because $\phi_n^\pm \circ x \geq 0$, this shows that

$$\phi_n^\pm \circ x = 0, \quad \mu\text{-almost everywhere, for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Because we only consider a countable number of ϕ_n , this implies that

$$\phi_n \circ x = 0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mu\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Therefore $x = 0$, μ -almost everywhere. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Onno van Gaans, *Definition of the Bochner integral*, April 2006;
- [2] Onno van Gaans and Jan van Neerven, *Stochastic Differential Equations*, Stieltjes cursus lecture notes, Leiden, Februari 2001;
- [3] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Second Edition, Springer, 1998;
- [4] L.C.G. Rogers and D. Williams, *Diffusions, Markov Processes and Martingales*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2000;
- [5] Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1991;
- [6] Ting On To, Yip Kai Wing, *A Generalized Jensen's Inequality*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 58, No. 1, 1975;